



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 6

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Derek P. Freyberg (3) Barbara Twardzik

(2) Wayne Montgomery (4) _____

Date of interview 12/11/91

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Inquired about the submission of the duplicate specification, etc. received on Sept. 23, 1991. Wayne Montgomery will investigate and get back to me. Also asked for an election of an ultimate species of a single compound; Mr. Montgomery will get back to me concerning this. See attached sheet for additional comments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Barbara Twardzik
Examiner's Signature

12/11/91 Mr. Montgomery called back concerning the submission received by the Office on Sept. 23, 1991 and said this should have gone to Examiner Scalzo and identified the following patent number: 07/692,407. Mr. Montgomery elected species compound of Claim 7.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY